



Neighbourhood Plan Steering Group

**Minutes of meeting held on
12th July 2017**

11/16	Present: Lisa Emmanuel, Maggie Prisk, Gordon Lewis, Jon Adamson, David Kent, Cllr. Kennedy, Cllr. Wilde, Cllr Kirkham, Cllr Agintas, Mick McHugh
12/16	Apologies: Cllr. Morgan, Cllr Parker, Cllr. Davison
13/16	Minutes from Workshop held on 22nd May 2017 The notes from the workshop were agreed as a true record.
14/16	<p>Report from Project Manager</p> <p>LE updated the group on the meeting held with the MKC Planning Support Officer, Jon Wellstead. He was very complimentary about the questionnaire design and the response received. Progress to date was reviewed and the broad policy themes discussed. JW expressed no concerns regarding any of the proposed policies.</p> <p>LE then informed the group that three companies had returned information regarding the request from SPC with regard a Planning Consultant. All three had been invited to submit tenders, the deadline for submissions is Friday 14th July with interviews due to take place on Wednesday 26th July.</p> <p>Discussion then focussed on the Urban Capacity Study which had been commissioned by MKC as part of progress of Plan:MK. LE shared the details of the nine sites referenced in the parish along with the densities and layout designs with regard the regeneration of North Bradville. A formal response from SPC has been registered with MKC against each of the sites and concern relating to the densities regarding North Bradville.</p> <p>DK expressed concern on the unprofessional approach with regard this study, which would appear to be more than just a “Technical Study”. It was agreed that LE would share the documents with the Steering Group.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Action LE</p>

15/16	<p>Survey Analysis</p> <p>LE circulated the breakdown of the survey results on an estate by estate basis, compiled by Sue Tozer. It was recognised that further time would be needed to review the results in detail, but some initial mapping of issues had taken place.</p> <p>The group were asked to feedback any further comments via email or phone to LE at any time before the next meeting.</p>
16/16	<p>Policy Development</p> <p>Maps had been prepared of each individual grid square/estate. LE had reviewed the analysis and begun to map issues accordingly, paying particular regard to the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Parking • Housing development land • Potential sites for Community facilities • Open space to protect <p>The group then reviewed the verbatim results for each estate, adding and revising the information on the maps. Additional comments were noted as follows:</p> <p><u>Oakridge Park</u> Would support the introduction of community facilities at the local centre, but not if at the expense of other areas within the parish.</p> <p><u>Stantonbury</u> JA confirmed that the athletics club struggle to accommodate parking when they hold events. In addition to the club events, schools from MK wide also hold events there, resulting in them being held most Saturdays throughout Summer. The Campus own the land. The proposal for potential community centre/parish office was discussed and in general supported, but not to take away any parking space. Land adjacent should be utilised.</p> <p>Support for “smaller” shops was discussed, JA confirmed the school would not wish to see fast food establishments to be too close to the school.</p> <p>JA then updated the group on the Griffin Trusts plans to separate the community entrance from the school aong with refurbishment of one of the blocks. There are also discussions to progress towards becoming an International School, linked with China. If this proceeds, sopme of the school land may be developed to provide accommodation in the form of Halls of Residence. There was a general feeling of support from the group regarding this proposal. The exact location on the map was discussed and discussion also referred to land currently fenced off for an allotment project for pupils.</p> <p>There was agreement that any proposals for re-development of the</p>

Signed

Date

	<p>site should also address access, with an additional access being preferred for the school and community facilities.</p> <p>SK referenced the concern from local residents that there is no bus service on Monks Way which creates issues for the local elderly population.</p> <p><u>Bancroft Park</u> Discussion was held with regard an area previously highlighted as potential allotment land. It was agreed there was no requirement for additional allotments and in addition the site was prohibitive due to lack of services and access.</p> <p><u>Blue Bridge</u> There were no additional needs identified. The main point acknowledged was parking and access/egress from the grid road.</p> <p><u>Bancroft</u> Discussion focussed on dangerous situation where traffic reduces from dual carriageway to single carriageway at the roundabout with Grafton Street. Question was raised as to whether Grafton Street would ever be dualled.</p> <p><u>Bradville</u> The regeneration area will be discussed at a future meeting. Other community needs were discussed, LE suggested that a skate park may be feasible at the site near the roundabout at the bottom of Bradwell Road, near the allotments. PK was not in favour of this location.</p> <p>Potential parking areas on Mathiesen Drive were discussed, there was concern regarding the access to drives if additional parking was introduced. It was confirmed this would be assessed and taken into account.</p> <p>Any new housing proposed should be of a quality similar to Howick Drive, with a good mix of housing type.</p>
17/16	<p>Finance LE confirmed that consideration still needs to be given to the next grant application, with a view to ensuring all spend would be completed within a six month period from drawing down. The current spend to date is within budget.</p>
18/16	<p>AOB The date of the next meeting was confirmed as Monday 18th September 2017, 7pm</p>

Signed
NP SG Review Workshop 22.5.17

Date