



# **STANTONBURY NEIGHBOURHOOD PLAN CONSULTATION STATEMENT APPENDICES**

# **APPENDIX 1**

## **STAGE 1 SURVEY 2015 SUMMARY OF COMMENTS**

## **Stage 1 Survey 2015 Summary of Comments**

### **Getting Around**

Poor condition of redways and footpaths, relating to cracks and potholes x 6

Roads are too busy/traffic congestion x 3

Poor wheelchair access on footpaths, need dropped kerbs x 2

Poor maintenance of roads/potholes x 3

Bent road signs x 1

Poor maintenance of roads/potholes x 3

Cars parking on street/verges causing damage and obstruction x 11

Need a safe crossing to Oakridge Park x 1

Need a bus stop by Woodland School x 1

Speeding x 3

Poor bus service, especially to hospital x 2

Need a pedestrian crossing in Centre MK x 1

Children riding bikes in the road x 1

Underpasses are not safe x 1

Poor lighting/vandalism of street lamps x 4

Keep the grid system x 1

Keep the redways x 1

Not enough free parking in the area x 4

Control inconsiderate and dangerous parking x 5

Want double yellow lines x 1

More gritting in bad weather x 2

### **Cleanliness of area**

Rubbish left after bin collections/burst rubbish x 2

Dog poo/need more bins x 6

Litter/need more bins x 8

Poor street cleaning x 2

### **Open Space**

Poor landscaping/lack of maintenance, including trees and leaves x 13

Subsidence from trees x 4

Bad state of the garage areas x 1

Like the area as it is, green, clean etc x 3

Nowhere for kids to go play since playing fields where closed x 1

Keep the open space x 1

### **Community Facilities**

Need facilities for the elderly x 2

Would like more coach trips x 1

Poor broadband, provide wifi hotspots x 2

Need cultural facilities in the parish x 1

Need safe areas for children to play x 1

More facilities for young people x 1

Need a grammar school x 1

Waiting times too long for appointments at Dr's x 3

Need a skills centre x 1

Need facilities for the disabled x 1

Need facilities to worship x 1

Reduce number of premises licensed to sell alcohol x 1

Stantonbury shops in poor state x 2

Delays with development of Oakridge Local Centre x 1

Refurbish play area in Bancroft x 1



## **Local services**

Keep police, no more cuts                      x 1

Police service poor                              x 1

Need a shop on Bancroft, Bancroft Park, Blue Bridge   x 1

Excellent functions at Roman Park                      x 2

Poor service at MK hospital                      x 1

Need Neighbourhood Watch                      x 2

Need a decent dentist                      x 1

## **Housing**

Need decent affordable housing   x 1

Keep current housing, don't demolish                      x 1

HiMO's/parking                      x 1

State of some front gardens                      x 1

## **Pollution**

Noise from cars racing/revving                      x 1

Light pollution                      x 1

## **General comments**

Nice safe area                      x 1

More transparent planning processes                      x 1

Need more information regarding candidates for local elections                      x 1

Money should be spent on areas of need within the parish                      x 1

Better/more timely communication of parish activities                      x 1

Burglary                      x 1

Enforce against fly tips                      x 2

# **APPENDIX 2**

## **NPSG MEMBERS**

## Appendix 2. Neighbourhood Plan Steering Group

### **Members of the Neighbourhood Plan Steering Group**

The table below lists all members who have been involved in the NPSG during the period of the Plan production. All SPC Councillors are residents of the Parish and therefore have had a dual role in the group.

<b>Name</b>	<b>Representing</b>	<b>Role</b>
Graham Davison	SPC Councillor	Chair
Lisa Emmanuel	SPC Neighbourhood Plan Project Manager	Project Support
Richard Wilde	Resident	Member
John Mountford	Resident	Member
Stantonbury Resident	Resident	Member
Gordon Lewis	Resident	Member
David Wright	SPC Councillor	Member
Linda Morgan	SPC Councillor	Member
Sandra Kennedy	SPC Councillor	Member
Geoff Parker	SPC Councillor	Member
Peter Kirkham	SPC Councillor	Member
Sara Agintas	SPC Councillor	Member
Deputy Head Teacher	Griffin Trust	Member
Head Teacher	Stanton School	Member
Ex. School Member	Stanton School	Member
Maria Farmer	SPC Councillor	Member
Malcolm Millen	SPC Councillor	Member
Bradville Resident	Resident	Member
David Outram	Former SPC Councillor	Member
Stantonbury Resident	Resident	Member
Stantonbury Resident	Christ Church	Member
Stantonbury Resident	Resident	Member
Helen Sharp	SPC Officer	Administrative Support
Susan Tozer	SPC Officer	Administrative Support
Kerry Fane	SPC Officer	Administrative Support



# **APPENDIX 3**




## **STAGE 1 SURVEY ANALYSIS**

## Neighbourhood Plan Stage 1 Survey Response Data


The following graphs illustrate the responses received to the Neighbourhood Plan questionnaire 2015.

### Question 1. How important are the following to you?







## Community Facilities






## Shopping Facilities




## Facilities for Young People









## Community Activities




## Local Job Prospects




## Cleanliness of the Area




## Level of Crime





## Level of Pollution




## Community Relations




**Question 2. How good are the following?**




## Traffic Congestion




## Affordable Decent Housing




## Parks, Open Spaces and Access to Nature




## Community Facilities






## Facilities for Young People




## Shopping Facilities







## Community Activities






## Local Job Prospects



## Cleanliness of the Area







# **APPENDIX 4**

## **STAGE 2 SURVEY**







GETTING AROUND

These questions relate to Roads, Redways, Pavements, Traffic and Public Transport

YES

NO

(PLEASE TICK)

☐

☐

1. Do you consider the roads (grid/estate) to be of an acceptable standard?

☐

☐

2. Do you consider the roads (grid or estate) to be safe?

☐

☐

3. Do you feel the roads in our community are too congested?

☐

☐

4. Do you consider the redways and footpaths to be of an acceptable standard?

☐

☐

5. Do you consider the redways and footpaths to be safe?

☐

☐

6. Do you feel there is sufficient public transport in our community?

☐

☐

7. Do you think the underpasses within the parish are safe?

☐

☐

8. Do you think the underpasses are well maintained?

☐

☐

9. Do you feel there are enough areas to park within the parish?

10. Do problems with parking prevent;

YES

NO

☐

☐

Access to your home

☐

☐

Access to local shops

☐

☐

Access to community facilities

☐

☐

Access for emergency vehicles

If yes to any of the above, would you welcome;

☐

☐

11. Additional parking areas where feasible

☐

☐

12. Additional parking restrictions in certain locations

13. Any other comments or details about these questions? (Please mention question no.)

HEALTH SERVICES

Some people are concerned about health services available within the parish. Whilst the Neighbourhood Plan cannot directly impact on health provision, we are trying to find out a bit more detail to help us with our planning and lobbying health organisations.

YES

NO

(PLEASE TICK)

☐

☐

14. Do your local GP facilities meet your needs?

☐

☐

15. Do your dental facilities meet your needs?

☐

☐

16. Do other health facilities meet your needs?  
Eg physiotherapy etc

☐

☐

17. Would you access additional health services if they were provided in other local buildings eg schools, community centres, children's centres etc?

18. Any other comments or details about these questions? (Please mention question no.)



Please feel free to add further comments on additional paper, and return with the survey.

HOUSING

Stantonbury Parish has a total of 4532 homes and has grown by 267 over the last 5 years. There are 17 homes with planning permission yet to be built. With a high proportion of long standing and now ageing residents, the population has grown to 10,550. The nature of accommodation has changed along with the increase of a more transient community. **Thinking about the neighbourhood where you live:**

YES

NO

(PLEASE TICK)

☐

☐

19. Do you feel your neighbourhood has a good mix of housing types and designs?

☐

☐

20. If they were in keeping, do you feel some areas could be developed for housing?

If Yes, please state where: \_\_\_\_\_

21. If it was agreed, what type of development do you feel is appropriate to accommodate new homes? Please tick all that apply;

☐

One or two dwellings, filling gaps between houses in existing built-up areas

☐

A range of small-scale developments (4-9units)

☐

Larger developments (more than 9 units)

☐

A combination of the above

22. If it was agreed some areas could be developed, what type of accommodation do you feel is appropriate to meet local need? Please tick all that apply;

☐

1-2 bed

☐

Shared ownership

☐

2-3 bed

☐

Low cost housing

☐

3-4 bed

☐

Residential care

☐

Bungalows

☐

Warden assisted

☐

Flats

☐

Other

23. Any other comments or details about these questions? (Please mention question no.)



COMMUNITY FACILITIES

These questions relate to facilities in the area where you can go to access services and includes shops, leisure and community centres.

YES

NO

(PLEASE TICK)

☐

☐

24. Do you use a local community centre, school, children's centre, leisure or other facility?

25. If yes, what activities/services do you access?

\_\_\_\_\_

26. Thinking about the next 10 years, are there any other facilities you would like to see in the parish?

☐

Child-care facility?

☐

Older peoples social club?

☐

Youth club?

☐

Religious facility?

☐

Coffee shop?

☐

Other?

☐

Community centre?



27. Any other comments or details about these questions? (Please mention question no.)

PARKS, OPEN SPACES, HERITAGE & ACCESS TO NATURE

Stantonbury Parish has good access to open space and nature along with some areas of important heritage value. This featured highly in residents' wishes to maintain and protect such facilities.

YES

NO

(PLEASE TICK)

☐

☐

28. Do you think there is enough natural open space for public use in the parish? Eg, parks playing fields etc

☐

☐

29. Do you think that the public open spaces are attractive?

☐

☐

30. Are you able to easily access the natural open spaces?

☐

☐

31. Do you feel we have sufficient facilities such as sports pavilions, community centres etc to enhance the use of open space?

☐

☐

32. Do you feel that signposts to indicate locations for recreational areas would be beneficial?

Stantonbury Parish originally had 47 play areas ranging from very small local play areas with only one or two items, to large neighbourhood play areas aimed at all ages. Many of these have fallen into disrepair, whilst the way people access play has also changed over time. With the current climate, it is essential that future play provision is managed economically and effectively whilst still meeting the needs of local people. With this in mind:

YES

NO

(PLEASE TICK)

☐

☐

33. Do you feel the variety of play provision is adequate?

☐

☐

34. Would you support the removal of some small play areas if there was a larger, better equipped play area nearby?

☐

☐

35. Are there other types of play spaces you would like to see in the parish?

☐

☐

36. Are there other types of open space facilities that you would like in this parish?

37. Any other comments or details about these questions? (Please mention question no.)



CLEANLINESS OF THE AREA

The Stantonbury residents survey (November 2015) identified cleanliness of the area as one of the top five issues important to residents. We would like to identify areas that may be of particular concern and can be improved in the future. Policies can be adopted in the Neighbourhood Plan to ensure that new development is carried out in a way so as not to add to existing levels of pollution. Your answers to the following questions will help us to identify actions that we can take through policies in the Plan to ensure that future development in the area does not cause pollution.

YES

NO

(PLEASE TICK)

☐

☐

38. Do you consider litter to be a problem in the parish?

☐

☐

39. Do you consider fly-tipping to be a problem in the parish?

☐

☐

40. Are you concerned about traffic noise in the parish?

☐

☐

41. Are you concerned about light pollution in the parish?

☐

☐

42. Are you concerned about air quality within the parish?

☐

☐

43. Are you concerned about water quality in the parish?

☐

☐

44. Are you concerned about noise (other than traffic noise) in the parish?

☐

☐

45. Would you support recycling resources in the parish?

46. Any other comments or details about these questions? (Please mention question no.)





# **APPENDIX 5**

## **STAGE 2 SURVEY ANALYSIS**


# **Stantonbury Neighbourhood Plan**

## **Stage 2 Survey March 2017**


### **Summary of Results**

## 930 responses received in total


**Q1. Do you consider the roads (grid/estate) to be of an acceptable standard?**




**Q2. Do you consider the roads (grid/estate) to be safe?**




**Q3. Do you feel the roads in our community are too congested?**




**Q4. Do you consider the redways and footpaths to be of an acceptable standard?**




**Q5. Do you consider the redways and footpaths to be safe?**




**Q6. Do you feel there is sufficient public transport in our community?**




**Q7. Do you think the underpasses within the parish are safe?**



**Q8. Do you think the underpasses are well maintained?**




**Q9. Do you feel there are enough areas to park within the parish?**







Q10				
Problems with Parking	Access to your home	Access to shops	Access to community facilities	Access for emergency vehicles
Stantonbury	97	19	30	117
Bradville	77	61	40	125
Bancroft	19	13	21	36
Bancroft Park	5	0	2	8
Stantonbury Fields	3	2	1	7
Oakridge park	26	12	15	38
Blue Bridge	15	6	5	27




**Q11. Would you welcome additional parking areas where feasible?**




**Q12. Would you welcome additional parking restrictions in certain location?**




**Q14. Do your local GP facilities meet your needs?**




**Q15. Do your dental facilities meet your needs?**




**Q16. Do other health facilities meet your needs?  
Eg physiotherapy etc**




**Q17. Would you access additional health services if they were provided in other local buildings eg schools. Community centres, childrens centres etc?**



**Q19. Do you feel your neighbourhood has a good mix of housing types and designs?**




**Q20.If they were in keeping, do you feel some areas could be developed for housing, If yes, please state where**




**Q21**

	Type of development to accommodate new homes	1 or 2 dwellings, filling in gaps	Range of small-scale development	Larger developments	A combination of these
Stantonbury		71	68	21	103
Bradville		77	61	40	125
Bancroft		25	24	2	17
Bancroft Park		7	11	0	6
Stantonbury Fields		3	5	0	4
Oakridge Park		27	20	7	22
Blue Bridge		10	16	2	16


	Q22									
	1-2 bed	2-3 bed	3-4 bed	Bungalows	Flats	Shared ownership	Low Cost housing	Residential Care	Warden Assisted	Other
Stantonbury	85	93	30	78	31	71	93	42	53	4
Bradville	88	134	71	109	39	84	113	55	62	11
Bancroft	33	24	7	35	3	22	26	22	25	1
Bancroft Park	7	13	4	9	3	9	8	4	7	1
Stantonbury Fields	2	5	1	4	2	3	4	1	3	0
Oakridge park	20	36	30	20	13	19	18	16	19	2
Blue Bridge	17	19	9	20	4	21	18	15	20	1




**Q24. Do you use a local community centre, school, children's centre, leisure or other facility?**




Question 26							
	Child care facility	Youth Club	Coffee Shop	Community Centre	Older people's Social Club	Religious Facility	Other
Stantonbury	49	70	95	79	102	9	60
Bradville	58	68	112	52	111	13	94
Bancroft	5	16	34	7	33	2	38
Bancroft Park	4	6	9	8	9	0	14
Stantonbury Fields	1	3	8	0	4	1	6
Oakridge park	16	18	57	29	27	6	22
Blue Bridge	18	18	25	15	21	5	25




**Q29. Do you think that the public open spaces are attractive?**



**Q30. Are you able to easily access the natural open spaces?**




**Q31. Do you feel we have sufficient facilities such as sports pavilions, community centres to enhance the use of open space?**







**Q32. Do you feel that signposts to indicate locations for recreational areas would be beneficial?**




**Q33. Do you feel the variety of play provision is adequate?**




**Q34. Would you support the removal of some small play areas if there was a larger, better equipped play area nearby?**




**Q35. Are there other types of play spaces you would like to see in the parish?**




**Q36. Are there other types of open space facilities that you would like in this parish?**




**Q38. Do you consider litter to be a problem in the parish?**




**Q39. Do you consider fly-tipping to be a problem in the parish?**




**Q40. Are you concerned about traffic noise in the parish?**




**Q41. Are you concerned about light pollution in the parish?**




**Q42. Are you concerned about air quality in the parish?**




**Q43. Are you concerned about water quality in the parish?**




**Q44. Are you concerned about noise (other than traffic noise) in the parish?**




**Q45. Would you support recycling resources in the parish?**




**Q47. Do you feel at risk of crime in your own home?**




**Q48. Do you feel at risk of crime at the local centres?**




**Q49. Are you concerned about burglary?**




**Q50. Do you feel at risk of crime around schools?**




**Q51. Do you feel at risk of crime at other community facilities?**




**Q52. Are you concerned about anti-social behaviour?**



**Q53. Are you concerned about vehicle crime?**



**Q54. Are you concerned about violent crime?**



# **APPENDIX 6**

## **STAGE 2 SURVEY ANALYSIS**



## Assessing Neighbourhood Plan Survey Results - Stantonbury Parish Council

### STAGE 2 Community Survey (March 2017)

**Notes:** The following table summarises the 930 responses to the survey carried out, incorporating both hard copy and online responses. It should be noted that not all questions were completed by respondents to on the hard copy versions, therefore total numbers and percentages will add up to the total number of responses.

It should also be noted that the following question numbers are not tabulated below: 13, 18, 23, 27, 37, 46 as these correspond to comments boxes on the survey form.

GETTING AROUND		
Questions	Response by number and percentage	
1. Do you consider the roads (grid/estate) to be of an acceptable standard?	Yes - 424	45.59%
	No - 508	54.62%
2. Do you consider the roads (grid/estate) to be safe?	Yes - 592	63.65%
	No - 336	36.12%
3. Do you feel the roads in our community are too congested?	Yes - 402	43.22%
	No - 525	56.45%
4. Do you consider the redways and footpaths to be of an acceptable standard?	Yes - 530	56.98%
	No - 395	42.47%
5. Do you consider the redways and footpaths to be safe?	Yes - 472	50.75%
	No - 464	60.75%
6. Do you feel there is sufficient public transport in our community?	Yes - 597	64.19%
	No - 307	33%
7. Do you think the underpasses within the parish are safe?	Yes - 402	43.22%
	No - 525	56.45%
8. Do you think the underpasses are well maintained?	Yes - 391	42%
	No - 529	56.88%
9. Do you feel there are enough areas to park within the parish?	Yes - 490	52.68%
	No - 326	35.05%
10. Do problems with parking prevent:		
Access to your home	295	31.72%
Access to shops	148	15.91%
Access to community facilities	146	15.69%
Access for emergency vehicles	441	47.41%
11. Would you welcome additional parking areas where feasible?	Yes - 498	53.54%
	No - 111	11.93%
12. Would you welcome additional parking restrictions in certain location?	Yes - 434	46.66%
HEALTH SERVICES		
Questions	Evidence	
14. Do your local GP facilities meet your needs?	Yes - 595	63.97%
	No - 339	36.45%
15. Do your dental facilities meet your needs?	Yes - 700	75.26%
	No - 527	56.66%

<b>16. Do other health facilities meet your needs? Eg physiotherapy etc</b>	Yes - 619    66.55% No - 278    29.89%
<b>17. Would you access additional health services if they were provided in other local buildings eg schools. Community centres, childrens centres etc?</b>	Yes - 683    73.44% No - 229    24.62%
<b>HOUSING</b>	
<b>Questions</b>	<b>Evidence</b>
<b>19. Do you feel your neighbourhood has a good mix of housing types and designs?</b>	Yes - 789    84.83% No - 138    14.83%
<b>20.If they were in keeping, do you feel some areas could be developed for housing, If yes, please state where</b>	Yes - 291    31.29% No - 737    79.24%
<b>21. If it was agreed, what type of development do you feel is appropriate to accommodate new homes?</b>  1 or 2 dwellings, gap filling Range of small scale (4-9units) Larger developments (9+ units) Combination of above	  239    25.69% 225    24.19% 48    5.16% 273    29.35%
<b>22. If it was agreed some areas could be developed, what type of accommodation do you feel is appropriate to meet local need?</b>  1-2 bed 2-3 bed 3-4 bed Bungalows Flats Shared ownership Low cost housing Residential care Warden assisted Other	  294    31.61% 389    41.82% 180    19.35% 329    35.37% 117    12.58% 275    29.56% 336    36.12% 185    19.89% 234    25.16% 22    2.36%
<b>COMMUNITY FACILITIES</b>	
<b>Questions</b>	<b>Evidence</b>
<b>24. Do you use a local community centre, school, children's centre, leisure or other facility?</b>	Yes - 371    39.89% No - 550    59.13%
<b>26. Thinking about the next 10 years, are there any other facilities you would like to see in the parish?</b> Child care facility Youth club Coffee shop Community centre Older people's social club Religious facility Other	 185    19.89% 241    25.91% 411    44.19% 230    24.73% 360    38.70% 43    4.62% 319    34.30%

PARKS, OPEN SPACES, HERITAGE & ACCESS TO NATURE		
Questions	Evidence	
28. Do you think there is enough natural open space for use in the parish? Eg parks, playing fields etc	Yes - 814	87.52%
	No - 109	11.72%
29. Do you think that the public open spaces are attractive?	Yes - 712	76.55%
	No - 213	22.90%
30. Are you able to easily access the natural open spaces?	Yes - 856	92%
	No - 65	6.98%
31. Do you feel we have sufficient facilities such as sports pavilions, community centres to enhance the use of open space?	Yes - 565	60.75%
	No - 344	36.98%
32. Do you feel that signposts to indicate locations for recreational areas would be beneficial?	Yes - 728	78.27%
	No - 565	60.75%
33. Do you feel the variety of play provision is adequate?	Yes - 565	60.75%
	No - 279	31.07%
34. Would you support the removal of some small play areas if there was a larger, better equipped play area nearby?	Yes - 505	54.30%
	No - 328	35.26%
35. Are there other types of play spaces you would like to see in the parish?	Yes - 248	26.66%
	No - 528	56.77%
36. Are there other types of open space facilities that you would like in this parish?	Yes - 292	31.72%
	No - 587	63.11%
CLEANLINESS OF THE AREA		
Questions	Evidence	
38. Do you consider litter to be a problem in the parish?	Yes - 663	71.29%
	No - 266	28.60%
39. Do you consider fly-tipping to be a problem in the parish?	Yes - 489	52.58%
	No - 438	47.09%
40. Are you concerned about traffic noise in the parish?	Yes - 209	22.47%
	No - 714	76.77%
41. Are you concerned about light pollution in the parish?	Yes - 176	18.92%
	No - 749	80.53%
42. Are you concerned about air quality in the parish?	Yes - 198	21.29%
	No - 727	78.17%
43. Are you concerned about water quality in the parish?	Yes - 160	49.67%
	No - 763	82.04%
44. Are you concerned about noise (other than traffic noise) in the parish?	Yes - 156	16.77%
	No - 770	82.79%
45. Would you support recycling resources in the parish?	Yes - 816	87.74%
	No - 110	11.82%

CRIME & COMMUNITY SAFETY		
Questions	Evidence	
47. Do you feel at risk of crime in your own home?	Yes - 288    30.96%	No - 626    67.31%
48. Do you feel at risk of crime at the local centres?	Yes - 362    38.92%	No - 550    59.13%
49. Are you concerned about burglary?	Yes - 606    67.31%	No - 307    33%
50. Do you feel at risk of crime around schools?	Yes - 244    26.23%	No - 656    70.53%
51. Do you feel at risk of crime at other community facilities?	Yes - 245    26.34%	No - 652    70.10%
52. Are you concerned about anti-social behaviour?	Yes - 572    61.50%	No - 341    36.66%
53. Are you concerned about vehicle crime?	Yes - 549    59%	No - 366    39.35%
54. Are you concerned about violent crime?	Yes - 450    48.38%	No - 467    50.21%
Estate: Bancroft Bancroft Park Blue Bridge Bradville Oakridge Park Stantonbury Stantonbury Fields	119 31 58 271 83 239 13	12.79% 3.33% 6.23% 29.13% 8.92% 25.69% 1.39%
Gender: Male Female	366 482	39.35% 51.82%
Age: Under 19 20-24 25-29 30-44 45-59 60-64 65-74 75-84 85 & over	2 7 24 203 217 83 173 70 14	0.21% 0.75% 2.58% 21.82% 23.33% 8.92% 18.60% 7.52% 1.50%
Time lived in Parish Under 1 year 1-5 years 5-10 years 10-20 years 20-30 years 30-40 years 40+ years	40 109 90 127 96 163 19	4.30% 11.72% 9.67% 13.65% 10.32% 17.52% 2.04%

<b>Ethnicity</b>		
<b>White British</b>	725	77.95%
<b>White Irish</b>	10	1.07%
<b>White other</b>	29	3.11%
<b>Mixed White &amp; Black Caribbean</b>	2	0.21%
<b>Mixed White &amp; Black African</b>	2	0.21%
<b>Mixed White &amp; Asian</b>	5	0.53%
<b>Mixed Other</b>	2	0.21%
<b>Black African</b>	11	1.18%
<b>Black Caribbean</b>	7	0.75%
<b>Black Other</b>	1	0.10%
<b>Asian Indian</b>	18	1.93%
<b>Asian Pakistani</b>	4	0.43%
<b>Asian Bangladeshi</b>	1	0.10%
<b>Asian Chinese</b>	5	0.53%
<b>Asian Other</b>	6	0.64%
<b>Arab</b>	2	0.21%
<b>Any Other</b>	6	0.64%

**APPENDIX 7**  
NEIGHBOURHOOD PLAN  
WORKSHOP REPORT

Assessing Neighbourhood Plan Survey Results - Stantonbury Parish Council - Steering Group  
Workshop 22nd May 2017

STAGE 2 Community Survey (March 2017)

GETTING AROUND				
Questions	Evidence	Issue (Y / N / Maybe)	Assessment of Comments - what is it that bothers the community about the issue?	Issue for NP/SPC or Both
1. Do you consider the roads (grid/estate) to be of an acceptable standard?	Yes - 424 45.59% No - 508 54.62%	Y	Main issue is potholes, some concerns around poor state of white lines. Need to look at long term	SPC
2. Do you consider the roads (grid/estate) to be safe?	Yes - 592 63.65% No - 336 36.12%	Y	Main issue speeding, including use of some roads as rat runs. Additional comments relate to accessing the estates to/from the grid roads.	Both
3. Do you feel the roads in our community are too congested?	Yes - 402 43.22% No - 525 56.45%	N	Only at peak times which is to be expected.  Bancroft roundabout is an issue	SPC
4. Do you consider the redways and footpaths to be of an acceptable standard?	Yes - 530 56.98% No - 395 42.47%	Y	Poor state of repair including damage from tree roots. Concern the situation will only get worse in light of budget cuts.	Both
5. Do you consider the redways and footpaths to be safe?	Yes - 472 50.75% No - 464 60.75%	Maybe	Individual issue, some people not happy to use at night in general. Other concerns relate to overgrown shrubs and poor lighting adding to feeling of being unsafe.	Both
6. Do you feel there is sufficient public transport in our community?	Yes - 597 64.19% No - 307 33%	Maybe	Recognised that majority of respondents are likely to be car owners. Of those who stated they use public transport, issues range from poor access to wider MK and hospital, to infrequency and poor reliability.  Young people would likely report differently. Expense and reliability an issue.	SPC
7. Do you think the underpasses within the parish are safe?	Yes - 402 43.22% No - 525 56.45%	Y	Issues relate to poor lighting and some where youths are congregating giving a feeling of intimidation.	SPC

8. Do you think the underpasses are well maintained?	Yes - 391 42% No - 529 56.88%	Y	Poor lighting, rubbish collecting, graffiti and bricks missing.	SPC
9. Do you feel there are enough areas to park within the parish?	Yes - 490 52.68% No - 326 35.05%	Y	People don't use their parking spaces, drivers park inconsiderately.	Both
10. Do problems with parking prevent:  Access to your home Access to shops Access to community facilities Access for emergency vehicles	295 31.72% 148 15.91% 146 15.69%  441 47.41%	Y	Need to look at locations and responses by estate  Real concern about emergency access - need to look at carefully.	Both
11. Would you welcome additional parking areas where feasible?	Yes - 498 53.54% No - 111 11.93%	Y	Need to look at locations and responses by estate. Shipton, Hill, Bishopstone, Cleveland, Harrowden, Richborough, Bancroft Meeting Place, Mathiesen Centre, Hardians Drive	Both
12. Would you welcome additional parking restrictions in certain location?	Yes - 434 46.66%	Y	Need to look at locations and responses by estate	Both
<b>HEALTH SERVICES</b>				
<b>Questions</b>	<b>Evidence</b>	<b>Issue (Y / N / Maybe)</b>	<b>Assessment of Comments - what is it that bothers the community about the issue?</b>	<b>Issue for NP/SPC or Both</b>
14. Do your local GP facilities meet your needs?	Yes - 595 63.97% No - 339 36.45%	N	Main comments relate to waiting times for appointments	SPC
15. Do your dental facilities meet your needs?	Yes - 700 75.26% No - 527 56.66%	N	Majority happy, many use dentists outside parish. Some would like a dentist at Oakridge Park. No NHS dentist available	SPC



16. Do other health facilities meet your needs? Eg physiotherapy etc	Yes - 619 66.55% No - 278 29.89%	N	Concern re physio services	SPC
17. Would you access additional health services if they were provided in other local buildings eg schools. Community centres, childrens centres etc?	Yes - 683 73.44% No - 229 24.62%	N	Need to share information with health providers	SPC
<b>HOUSING</b>				
<b>Questions</b>	<b>Evidence</b>	<b>Issue (Y / N / Maybe)</b>	<b>Assessment of Comments - what is it that bothers the community about the issue?</b>	<b>Issue for NP/SPC or Both</b>
19. Do you feel your neighbourhood has a good mix of housing types and designs?	Yes - 789 84.83% No - 138 14.83%	N	Need to maintain current balance	NP
20.If they were in keeping, do you feel some areas could be developed for housing, If yes, please state where	Yes - 291 31.29% No - 737 79.24%	Maybe	Areas listed include corner Mathiesen, behind Wylie Centre, campus/playing fields, re-develop shops, derelict garages, adjacent Wood End school. Detailed discussion needed on site by site basis.	NP
21. If it was agreed, what type of development do you feel is appropriate to accommodate new homes?  1 or 2 dwellings, g filling	239 25.69% 225 24.19% 48	Y	Consider in line with Q19. Protect parkland	NP

Range of small scale (4-9 units)	5.16%			
Larger developments (9+ units)	273			
Combination of above	29.35%			
22. If it was agreed some areas could be developed, what type of accommodation do you feel is appropriate to meet local need?	294	Y	Review responses against location/estate Concerns re parking with more houses  There was a view that this was a complicated question.  VN expressed the opinion that this was representative of what we know.	NP
1-2 bed	31.61%			
2-3 bed	389			
3-4 bed	41.82%			
Bungalows	180			
Flats	19.35%			
Shared ownership	329			
Low cost housing	35.37%			
Residential care	117			
Warden assisted	12.58%			
Other	275			
	29.56%			
	336			
	36.12%			
	185			
	19.89%			
	234			
	25.16%			
	22			
	2.36%			
COMMUNITY FACILITIES				
Questions	Evidence		Assessment of Comments - what is it that bothers the community about the issue?	Issue for NP/SPC or Both
24. Do you use a local community centre, school, children's centre, leisure or other facility?	Yes - 371 39.89% No - 550 59.13%	Y		Both
26. Thinking about the next 10 years, are there any other facilities you would like to see in the parish?	185 19.89% 241	Y	Pub Takeaway Post box on Oakridge Scouts Decent shops Library  Review responses against estates.	Both

Child care facility	25.91% 411		Compare against demographic projections.	
Youth club	44.19% 230		Post offices are closing everywhere, need to protect and ensure there are facilities. Need to look in detail at Purbeck and what could be offered there.	
Coffee shop	24.73% 360			
Community centre	38.70% 43			
Older people's social club	4.62% 319			
Religious facility	34.30%			
Other				
<b>PARKS, OPEN SPACES, HERITAGE &amp; ACCESS TO NATURE</b>				
<b>Questions</b>	<b>Evidence</b>	<b>Issue (Y / N / Maybe)</b>	<b>Assessment of Comments - what is it that bothers the community about the issue?</b>	<b>Issue for NP/SPC or Both</b>
28. Do you think there is enough natural open space for use in the parish? Eg parks, playing fields etc	Yes - 814 87.52% No - 109 11.72%	Y	Need to protect current balance.	NP
29. Do you think that the public open spaces are attractive?	Yes - 712 76.55% No - 213 22.90%	N	Need to maintain. Concern re litter and tree maintenance	Both
30. Are you able to easily access the natural open spaces?	Yes - 856 92% No - 65 6.98%	Maybe	Need to address DA in both current and future spaces	Both
31. Do you feel we have sufficient facilities such as sports pavilions, community centres to enhance the use of open space?	Yes - 565 60.75% No - 344 36.98%	Maybe	Review detail in comments Use existing facilities better	NP
32. Do you feel that signposts to indicate locations for recreational areas would be beneficial?	Yes - 728 78.27% No - 565 60.75%	Y	Redway waymarkers in need of replacement Clean existing signs  Questioned if this was for the NP	Both
33. Do you feel the variety of play provision is adequate?	Yes - 565 60.75% No - 279 31.07%	Y	Need to consider distribution across the parish Dated, need updating More for older children	Both

34. Would you support the removal of some small play areas if there was a larger, better equipped play area nearby?	Yes - 505 54.30% No - 328 35.26%	Y	Links to areas for potential re-development alongside open space for protection. Sites to be assessed in detail	Both
35. Are there other types of play spaces you would like to see in the parish?	Yes - 248 26.66% No - 528 56.77%	Y	Consider comments - string support for outdoor gym/fitness equipment, skate/bike track, adventure playground amongst others	Both
36. Are there other types of open space facilities that you would like in this parish?	Yes - 292 31.72% No - 587 63.11%	Y	Review comments in more detail, some suggestions include picnic tables, wildlife area, dog park, community garden/orchard, pop up events, more seating areas	Both
<b>CLEANLINESS OF THE AREA</b>				
<b>Questions</b>	<b>Evidence</b>	<b>Issue (Y / N / Maybe)</b>	<b>Assessment of Comments - what is it that bothers the community about the issue?</b>	<b>Issue for NP/SPC or Both</b>
38. Do you consider litter to be a problem in the parish?	Yes - 663 71.29% No - 266 28.60%	Y	Not enough bins More dog poo bins Litter on routes to/from Asda  Potential to get worse - need to review current locations covered by MKC lengthsmen	SPC
39. Do you consider fly-tipping to be a problem in the parish?	Yes - 489 52.58% No - 438 47.09%	Y	Garage blocks the main area of concern, also reference to car ports and bin store areas	SPC
40. Are you concerned about traffic noise in the parish?	Yes - 209 22.47% No - 714 76.77%	N	Noisy, speeding motorbikes Cars racing, Bishopstone  Need to ensure grid road corridors/noise barriers are maintained in future	NP
41. Are you concerned about light pollution in the parish?	Yes - 176 18.92% No - 749 80.53%	N	Shops at Oakridge Park - lights on all the time Could turn off half the street lights House security lights	NP

42. Are you concerned about air quality in the parish?	Yes - 198 21.29% No - 727 78.17%	N	Car fumes and bonfires	NP
43. Are you concerned about water quality in the parish?	Yes - 160 49.67% No - 763 82.04%	N	Hard water/chlorine  Need to ensure SUDs etc are addressed in any new development	NP
44. Are you concerned about noise (other than traffic noise) in the parish?	Yes - 156 16.77% No - 770 82.79%	N	Loud music Neighbours arguing Dr's surgery generator Fireworks Bradville Industry	SPC
45. Would you support recycling resources in the parish?	Yes - 816 87.74% No - 110 11.82%	Y	Need to assess options and opportunities More public recycling bins Community composting Concern re charge for green bins	Both
<b>CRIME &amp; COMMUNITY SAFETY</b>				
Questions	Evidence		Assessment of Comments - what is it that bothers the community about the issue?	Issue for NP/SPC or Both
47. Do you feel at risk of crime in your own home?	Yes - 288 30.96% No - 626 67.31%	N	At night Local centres are a hub for offenders to meet	Both
48. Do you feel at risk of crime at the local centres?	Yes - 362 38.92% No - 550 59.13%	Maybe	Design of Purbeck an issue	Both
49. Are you concerned about burglary?	Yes - 606 67.31% No - 307 33%	Y	Recent burglaries have raised concern	Both
50. Do you feel at risk of crime around schools?	Yes - 244 26.23% No - 656 70.53%	N		Both
51. Do you feel at risk of crime at other community facilities?	Yes - 245 26.34% No - 652 70.10%	N		Both
52. Are you concerned about anti-social	Yes - 572 61.50% No - 341 36.66%	Y	Some concern of youths hanging around underpasses and the campus Vandalism - Stanton Low Park Anti-social parking	Both

behaviour?				
53. Are you concerned about vehicle crime?	Yes - 549 59% No - 366 39.35%	Y		Both
54. Are you concerned about violent crime?	Yes - 450 48.38% No - 467 50.21%	Y	Need more visible police	Both